



New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 7 MAY 1998

WELLINGTON: FRIDAY, 8 MAY 1998 — ISSUE NO. 63

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED
BOUNDARIES AND CLASSIFICATIONS
OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS
OF NEW ZEALAND

**Objections to Proposed Boundaries and Classification
of Electoral Districts of New Zealand**

The Representation Commission appointed pursuant to section 28 of the Electoral Act 1993 hereby publishes, in accordance with the provisions of section 38 of that Act, a summary of the objections lodged with the Commission to the proposed boundaries and classification of the electoral districts, as set out in the Schedule hereto.

The full objections are available for public inspection at the offices of the Chief Electoral Office, Level 1, 110 Featherston Street, Wellington from Friday 8 May 1998 until Monday 25 May 1998 or individual objections by request through our 0800 service 0800 800 610. Those requiring photocopies of the full objections may incur photocopying charges. Copies of objection summaries are available for viewing at the places set out in the Schedule hereto:

The Representation Commission will receive written counter-objections to these objections, or any of them, if in the hands of the Commission no later than Monday 25 May 1998. Late Counter-objections i.e. those received after 25 May 1998, cannot be considered.

Counter-objections may be lodged either:

by post addressed to	The Secretary Representation Commission PO Box 3220 Wellington
or by Facsimile to	Facsimile Number (04) 473 8864
or by hand delivery to by 5.00pm	Chief Electoral Office Level 1 110 Featherston Street Wellington
or by e-mail	representation.commission@justice.govt.nz

All counter-objections are requested to clearly state:

- (i) the name, address and telephone contact number (day and night) of the counter-objector,
- (ii) the electorate(s) to which the counter-objection applies,
- (iii) the specific objection(s) the counter-objection applies to, using where possible the objection numbers allocated by the Commission,
- (iv) the grounds of the counter-objection.
- (v) the solution suggested by the counter-objector

The Commission requests that counter-objectors indicate whether they wish to speak briefly to their counter-objections before meetings of the Commission to be held in Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch and possible other locations as required in mid-June 1998.

Full details of each counter-objection must be in writing. Whether or not a counter-objector is heard his or her written counter-objection will be fully considered. However late counter-objections cannot be considered.

A separate counter-objection should be filed in respect of each separate objection which you oppose.

A suggested format for counter-objections is available at the places listed in the Schedule hereto.

Dated this 6th day of May 1998.

Judge BJ Kendall, Chairman Representation Commission.

Schedule

General and Maori Electorates

- 1 The offices of all *District Councils, City Councils, Chatham Islands County and Te Puia Springs Service Centre.*
- 2 The offices of all *Registrars of Electors.*
- 3 *Te Puni Kokiri (Regional Development Offices)* at Whangarei, Auckland, South Auckland, Hamilton, Rotorua, Tauranga, Whakatane, New Plymouth, Whanganui, Gisborne, Hastings, Palmerston North, Wellington, Blenheim and Christchurch.
- 4 *Maori Land Court Offices* at Whangarei, Hamilton, Rotorua, Gisborne, Hastings, Turangi, Wanganui, Wellington and Christchurch.
- 5 *Maori Trust Board Offices* at Aupouri (Kaitaia), Hauraki (Paeroa), Tauranga Moana (Tauranga), Tuwharetoa (Turangi), Ngai Tahu (Christchurch).
- 6 *Other locations* Te Whanau O Waipareira Trust (Auckland), Te Rununga O Kikikiriroa (Hamilton), Te Runanga O Te Whanau (Opotiki), Wakatu Inc.(Nelson), Manukau Urban Maori Authority.
- 7 Most major public libraries.

Notes:

Summarised objections have been allocated under the proposed electorate where the area specified in the objection is located. For example, if an objector stated an objection to, say, Pyes Pa being located in the proposed Rotorua Electorate OR objected to Pyes Pa not being in the Bay of Plenty Electorate then in both cases the objection has been assigned to the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

Abbreviations used: *b* relates to an objection to a boundary;
n relates to an objection to a name
h objector has requested a hearing

Electoral District and Nature of Objection

01 Northland01 001 *b,h* **Objection D E Jelichich**

Objects to the inclusion of Hikurangi in the proposed Northland Electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution The northern boundary of the proposed Whangarei Electorate should be extended as far as the northern outskirts of Hikurangi. The southern boundary of the proposed Whangarei Electorate should be at Waipu.

01 002 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the prolongation of a split Kaipara district, unnecessarily, and the continued inclusion of the town of Hikurangi in the proposed Northland Electorate on the grounds of community of interest, topography and projected population criteria.

Suggested solution The northern boundary of the proposed Whangarei Electorate should follow the Wairua River, the northern end of Hikurangi and the local authority ward boundary to the east so that Hikurangi, Matatau and Ruatangata West form part of the Whangarei Electorate and also that part of the Kaipara district in the proposed Whangarei Electorate should be added to the proposed Northland Electorate.

01 003 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Objection similar to Objection 01/001.

Suggested solution To include Hikurangi in the proposed Whanganui Electorate.

02 Whangarei02 001 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Objects to the inclusion of Maungaturoto in the proposed Whangarei Electorate on the grounds that it has a community of interest with Papanui.

Suggested solution To include Maungaturoto in the proposed Northland Electorate.

03**Rodney**

- 03 001 *b* **Objection Albany Residents & Ratepayers' Association**
Objects to the inclusion of Greenhithe and Paremoremo in the proposed Rodney Electorate on the grounds that they are physically and community wise totally separated from the rest of the Rodney Electorate.
Suggested solution To include the two areas in the proposed East Coast Bays Electorate.
- 03 002 *b,h* **Objection Paremoremo Residents & Ratepayers' Association**
Similar to Objection 03/001 with respect to Paremoremo.
Suggested solution To include Paremoremo in the proposed East Coast Bays Electorate along with the portion of Albany area from the Avenue West and the Greenhithe area. A more appropriate boundary for the Western sector would be O'Briens Road – Ridge Road to Brookdale Road. It is a major ridge in the land so it is a natural boundary.
- 03 003 *b* **Objection D McNab**
Objects to the district of Kaukapakapa being included in the proposed Rodney Electorate on the grounds of community of interest with Helensville.
Suggested solution That the boundary of the proposed Rodney and Helensville Electorates follow McLauchlan Road, Kaipara Coast Highway, Kahikatea Flat Road, Forestry Road and Bald Hill Road.
- 03 004 *b,h* **Objection Greenhithe Residents & Ratepayers' Association**
Similar to Objection 03/001 with respect to Greenhithe.
Suggested solution To include the Greenhithe Peninsular in the proposed East Coast Bays Electorate.
- 03 005 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
Objects to the inclusion of the Silverdale North, Waiwera, Hatfields Beach, Orewa, Red Beach, Silverdale South, Stanmore Bay, Manly and Army Bay statistical area units in the proposed Rodney Electorate on the grounds of their community of interest with urban Auckland and other changes to the arrangement of electorates in the North Auckland Region.
Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed East Coast Bays Electorate.

04 East Coast Bays

04 001 *n* **Objection Albany Residents & Ratepayers' Association**

Objects to the proposed name of East Coast Bays.

Suggested solution Electorate should be known as Albany as it is a defining point for the area.

04 002 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the land west of East Coast Road and south of Browns Bay Road and Masterton Road in the proposed East Coast Bays Electorate on the following grounds:

- 1 This area's community of interest with Greenhithe, Albany and Glenfield which is split by proposed boundaries.
- 2 Other suggested changes which require the transfer of population out of East Coast Bays so that it remains within the population tolerance.
- 3 The projected population growth in the region.

Suggested solution Transfer the area south of Browns Bay Road and Masterton Road and east of East Coast Road to the proposed North Shore Electorate. Transfer the area west of East Coast Road to a new Whenuapai Electorate.

06 North Shore

06 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Sunnynook and most of the Forrest Hill statistical area units in the North Shore Electorate on the grounds that other suggested changes require the transfer of population out of North Shore so that it remains within the population tolerance and because of projected population growth in the North Shore area generally.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to a new Whenuapai Electorate.

08 Helensville

08 001 *b* **Objection D B Shaw**

Objects to the inclusion of Woodside Road, Mayfair Place, Sabot Place, Scarlett Drive, Universal Drive and Camphora Place in the proposed Helensville Electorate on the grounds of topographical features and community of interest.

Suggested solution To move the Helensville Boundary to the Horuhoru Creek so as to include the above areas in the proposed Te Atatu Electorate.

- 08 002 *b* **Objection W C Guy**
Similar to Objection 08/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 08/001.
- 08 003 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
Similar to Objection 08/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 08/001.
- 08 004 *n,h* **Objection K P Lynch**
Objects to the proposed name of Helensville on the ground that the proposed name is misleading as to location and substantial land mass which comprise the proposed electorate.
Suggested solution To name the proposed electorate Waitakere.
- 08 005 *b,h* **Objection R N Astley**
Objects to the inclusion of Piha, Oratia and Waiaatarua in the proposed Helensville Electorate as commercial, transport, communication, and historical links are exclusively with Glen Eden and New Lynn.
Suggested solution To include the above areas in the proposed Waitakere Electorate by following an East/West line from Great North Road north of the designated places to the West Coast.
- 08 006 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
Objects to the inclusion of the Karekare, Otimai and Opanuku statistical area units in the proposed Helensville Electorate on the grounds of their community of interest with Waitakere.
Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed Waitakere Electorate.
Objects to the inclusion of any part of the Rodney District in the proposed Helensville Electorate on the grounds that this area's community of interest is with the rest of the Rodney District.
Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed Rodney Electorate.
Objects to the inclusion of that part of Waitakere City west of the Auckland-Kumeu Motorway in the proposed Helensville Electorate on the grounds that other changes would leave the electorate with a population greater than the tolerance allows.
Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed Rodney Electorate.
Objects to the name of the proposed Helensville Electorate on the grounds that other proposed changes would change the composition of the electorate to include urban parts of Waitakere and North Shore and not include Helensville.
Suggested solution Change the name of the electorate to Whenuapai.

09 Waitakere**09 001 n,h Objection K P Lynch**

Objects to the proposed name of Waitakere on the grounds that the proposed name is misleading as to location and substantial land mass which comprises the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution To name the proposed electorate Titirangi.

09 002 b,h Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).

Objects to the inclusion of the Rewarewa and Green Bay statistical area units in the proposed Waitakere Electorate on the grounds that other changes to neighbouring electorates require additional population to be added to the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer these area units to the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate.

11 Mt Albert**11 001 b,h Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to a portion of the suburb of Wesley being included in the proposed Mt Albert Electorate.

Suggested solution To include the area bounded by Mt Albert Road, Owairaka Avenue and Lorraine Avenue in the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate. This would reunite the suburb of Wesley.

12 Mt Roskill**12 001 b Objection E A M Simm**

Objects to the inclusion of the area bounded by Pah Road, Mt Albert Road to the Royal Oak roundabout and Manukau Road in the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate on grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution To include the above areas in the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate and to exclude some of Mangere/Otahuhu area, including Middlemore Hospital, from the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate.

12 002 b Objection C & M Woonton

Objects to the inclusion of the part of Onehunga west of Te Papapa in the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate on the grounds it does not meet topographical features and fragments community of interest.

Suggested solution To retain the existing Maungakiekie boundary.

12 003 b Objection L Craig

Similar to Objection 12/002.

Suggested solution Move the boundary with Maungakiekie from Victoria Street westward to Symonds Street or at least Onehunga Mall. In turn, the former Epsom/Maungakiekie boundary could be retained

and a consequential adjustment be made to the Epsom and Mt Roskill boundary.

12 004 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Similar to both Objections 12/001 and 12/002.

Suggested solution That a less divisive line be chosen instead of (a) Victoria Street, perhaps Onehunga Mall or Galway or Cameron Streets, and (b) instead of Manukau Road, perhaps Pah Road and Mt Albert Road.

12 005 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the area east of Buckley Road, Hillsborough Road and Hugh Watt Drive in the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate on the grounds of projected changes in population in the electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate.

13 **Epsom**

Note L Craig has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 12/003 (Mt Roskill) for details.

14 **Tamaki**

14 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the area south of St Johns Road and Gerard Way and west of the Waatarua Reserve in the proposed Tamaki Electorate on the grounds of projected changes in the population of the electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate.

15 **Maungakiekie**

Note L Craig has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 12/003 (Mt Roskill) for details.

15 001 *b* **Objection A A Hall**

Objects to the inclusion of Middlemore Hospital in the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution Middlemore Hospital should be included in the proposed Mangere Electorate.

15 002 *b,n,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the boundaries between the proposed Epsom and Maungakiekie Electorates with a subsequent flow on affecting the proposed Tamaki and Mt Roskill electorates on the grounds of community of interest, topographical features and projected population criterion. Also objects to the proposed name of Maungakiekie.

Suggested solution

- 1 Deploy the existing Maungakiekie-Epsom boundary, or perhaps better still, align with the recently promulgated Hobson-Penrose ward boundary of Auckland City.
- 2 Restore the community of interest between Panmure, Mt Wellington and Otahuhu by deploying the former Auckland City-Tamaki city boundary along the creek and reserve beside Torino and Tangaroa Streets, noting that alternatives include Tuariki Street and Tripoli Road or Anderson Avenue which is an earlier Tamaki electorate boundary.
- 3 Having regained parts of the Epsom, Remuera and Greenlane suburbs, the proposed Epsom Electorate would bound the proposed electorate Tamaki at Ladies Mile, Remuera Road and Orakei Road.
- 4 These changes would allow more of Onehunga to be linked to Oranga preferably by using Onehunga Mall as a boundary, or some alternative such as Galway and Cameron Streets.
- 5 Should the summit of Maungakiekie be moved to Epsom, as suggested, the Maungakiekie Electorate should be renamed Penrose.

15 003 *b,n,h* **Objection J Welch**

Similar to Objection 15/002.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 15/002 with the addition to point 2 that the boundary could be further north to Point England Road and that the proposed Mt Roskill Electorate would gain the rest of the Wesley area probably to Lorraine Avenue.

15 004 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the area south east of the North Island Main Trunk Railway Line on the grounds that other changes make it necessary to transfer population to a neighbouring electorate so that both remain within the population tolerance. Objection is also based on the grounds of the projected change in population in the proposed Maungakiekie Electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to a new Otahuhu Electorate.

16 Pakuranga

- 16 001 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell. (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of all the land south and west of Pakuranga Stream, Pigeon Mountain Road, Fortunes Road, Butley Drive, the eastern boundary of Wakaaranga School and Wakaaranga Creek being included in the proposed Pakuranga Electorate on the grounds of facilities of communication and projected changes in population in the South Auckland area.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to a new Otahuhu Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Pakuranga Electorate on the grounds that other changes would remove a large part of Pakuranga and add parts of Howick.

Suggested solution Change name of electorate to Howick.

17 Manukau East

- 17 001 *b* **Objection D S Newman**

Objects to the inclusion of Waimate Street, Piako Street and Preston Road through to Flat Bush Road in the proposed electorate of Manukau East on the grounds that the area's community of interest is with the Flatbush community in the proposed Manurewa Electorate.

Suggested solution To maintain existing community interests by re-establishing the existing electoral boundary to include the above area in the proposed Manurewa Electorate.

- 17 002 *n* **Objection J Simpson**

Objects to the proposed name of Manukau East on the grounds there is a more appropriate option.

Suggested solution To call the proposed electorate Somerville in keeping with the facilities in the area.

- 17 003 *b* **Objection C B & B J B Brown**

Objects to the inclusion of the part of Puhinui on the southern side of Puhinui Road between the railway line and Norman Spencer Drive being included in the proposed Manukau East Electorate on the grounds the community of interest that exists is between the Puhinui Road area and the rest of the Manurewa Electorate.

Suggested solution To include the area in the proposed Manurewa Electorate.

- 17 004 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the area of land west of the Auckland/Hamilton Motorway in the proposed Manukau East Electorate on the grounds that a new electorate should centre on

Manukau City and that this electorate should be rearranged to take greater account of projected population growth in the area.

Suggested solution To transfer the area of land west of the Auckland/Hamilton Motorway to a new Manukau Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Manukau East Electorate on the grounds that other changes would add large parts of Otahuhu and Pakuranga and remove Papatoetoe.

Suggested solution Change the name to Otahuhu.

18 Mangere

18 001 *b,h* **Objection I C McGechie and 392 other signatories**

Objects to the inclusion of the area within Shirley Road, Grant South Road, Grange Road to the Railway Line in the proposed Mangere Electorate on the grounds of community of interest and communication.

Suggested solution To include the area in the proposed Manukau East Electorate.

19 Manurewa

19 001 *b* **Objection T Brown**

Objects to inclusion of area known as 'the Gardens' bounded by Totara Park at the north, Mill Road to the east, the Southern Motorway to the west and Ranfurly Road to the south in the proposed electorate of Manurewa on the grounds that this area's community of interest is with the semi rural areas of the proposed Hunua Electorate.

Suggested solution The area of 'the Gardens' should be included in the proposed Hunua Electorate.

19 002 *b* **Objection W J F Marshall**

Similar to Objection 19/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 19/001.

19 003 *b* **Objection D S Newman**

Similar to Objection 19/006.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 19/006.

19 004 *b* **Objection M Storey**

Similar to Objection 19/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 19/001.

- 19 005 *b* **Objection G Hawkins MP**
Objects to the boundaries for the proposed electorate of Manurewa on the grounds there is no need for any alteration to the 1995 boundaries given expected growth.
Suggested solution The boundaries of the proposed Manurewa Electorate should be the same as current boundaries.
- 19 006 *b* **Objection C B & B J B Brown**
Objects to the inclusion of the areas east of Stratford Road and Wairere Road in the south and to the east of Redoubt and Hilltop/Matthews Roads in the north being included in the proposed Manurewa Electorate on the grounds that community of interest in the area is clearly more rural in character and more naturally associated with the core Alfriston area.
Suggested solution That the proposed Manurewa Electorate boundary should be amended to include Stratford Road, eastwards along Hill Road to the junction with Wairere Road and from Wairere Road to the junction with the existing 1995 boundary. That the 1995 boundary east to Mill Road be retained up to the junction with Redoubt Road when it should turn west to link up with Hilltop Road through to Matthews Road and along to Dawson Road to the point where it links with the present boundary.
- 19 007 *b,h* **Objection D S Newman**
Similar to Objection 19/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 19/001.
- 19 008 *b,h* **Objection E Nakhle, H Nakhle, D Nakhle & M Hunt**
Similar to Objection 19/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 19/001. To compensate, an area in the vicinity of East Tamaki Heights south to Point View Drive be taken from the proposed Hunua Electorate.
- 19 009 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
Objects to the inclusion of the area south of Palmers Road, Weymouth Road and Alfriston Road being in the proposed Manurewa Electorate on the grounds that all electorates in this region should be altered to create a new urban Papakura Electorate and a new Manukau Electorate to take account of greater projected population growth and communities of interest in the area.
Suggested solution Transfer the area south of Palmers Road, Weymouth Road and Alfriston Road to a new Papakura Electorate.
Objects to the name of the proposed Manurewa Electorate on the grounds that other changes would remove part of Manurewa and add part of Papatoetoe.
Suggested solution Change the name to Manukau.

20 Hunua

See **Objection 19/008 E Nakhle, H Nakhle, D Nakhle & M Hunt**

20 001 *b* **Objection I Lewis**

Objects to the proposed boundary for Hunua electorate on the grounds of size and resulting difficulties of communication.

Suggested solution None proposed.

20 002 *b* **Objection V Sedgwick**

Objects to the proposed boundary of Hunua on the grounds that it does not give due consideration to the future demographic profiles of the proposed Port Waikato and Hunua Electorates; of projected variations in electoral populations with Hunua's growing population and Port Waikato remaining static.

Suggested solution To include Clarks Beach and rural Kingseat west of Kingseat Road in the proposed Port Waikato Electorate.

20 003 *b* **Objection K Brent-Edmondson**

Objects to the inclusion of East Tamaki Heights (area clustered around Kilkenny Road and east of Ti Rakau Drive) in the proposed Hunua Electorate on the grounds community interests are with Howick in the Manukau East Electorate.

Suggested solution The area of East Tamaki Heights should be included in the proposed Manukau East Electorate.

20 004 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Papakura District in the proposed Hunua Electorate on the grounds that Papakura's community of interest is with urban Auckland and Hunua's community of interest is more with Pukekohe. The changes would also see greater account taken of the projected population growth in the area.

Suggested solution Transfer the Papakura District to a new Papakura Electorate including all of the Franklin District in the proposed Hunua Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Hunua Electorate on the grounds that other proposed changes will alter the composition of the electorate to include all of the Franklin District and none of the Papakura District.

Suggested solution Change the name to Franklin.

21 Port Waikato

21 001 *b* **Objection J & M Grayling**

Objects to Ngahinapouri moved from Hamilton West Electorate to the proposed Port Waikato Electorate on the grounds all community of interest lie with Hamilton.

Suggested solution To return Ngahinapouri within Hamilton West's

boundaries by siting the Hamilton West/Port Waikato boundary along Forkert Road and the Mangaotama Stream to the Waipa River.

- 21 002 *b* **Objection D & V Christian**
 Similar to Objection 21/001 but in respect specifically to the area south of Koromatua Road.
- 21 003 *b,h* **Objection A G & S M McKenna**
 Similar to Objection 21/002.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 21/001.
- 21 004 *b* **Objection V D & D A MacDougall**
 Similar to Objection 21/002.
- 21 005 *b* **Objection G & R Gilmore**
 Similar to Objection 21/002.
- 21 006 *b,h* **Objection L Coombes**
 Similar to Objection 21/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 21/001.
- 21 007 *b* **Objection M Eichler**
 Similar to Objection 21/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 21/001.
- 21 008 *b* **Objection J Flower and 13 others**
 Similar to Objection 21/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 21/001.
- 21 009 *b* **Objection R & R Glenn**
 Similar to Objection 21/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 21/001.
- 21 010 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Franklin District in the proposed Port Waikato Electorate on the grounds of its community of interest with the rest of the Franklin District and the need for a reduction in its population so that it remains within the quota tolerance.
Suggested solution Divide the proposed Port Waikato Electorate in half along the boundary between the Franklin and Waikato Districts. The northern half would become part of a new Franklin Electorate and the southern half would become part of a new North Waikato Electorate including Morrinsville and Matamata.

23 Hamilton East

- 23 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Lake Cameron statistical area unit in the proposed Hamilton East Electorate on the grounds of community of interest, topographical features, and facilities of communication with other areas west of the Waikato River.

Suggested solution Transfer this area to a new North Waikato Electorate.

24 Karapiro

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details.

- 24 001 *b,h* **Objection R E Higgins**

Objects to the removal of the southern portion of the Hamilton East Electorate embracing the Ohaupo/Kaipaki area into the proposed Karapiro Electorate. The area in question has had a long and strong community of interest with Hamilton.

Suggested solution Leave the boundary between Hamilton East and Karapiro the same as it is now.

- 24 002 *b,h* **Objection J D Storey**

Similar to Objection 24/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 24/001.

- 24 003 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of Cambridge, part of the Hautapu statistical area unit and the area south of the Waikato River and Lake Karapiro including Te Awamutu, on the grounds that other proposed changes would make it necessary to add population to neighbouring electorates.

Suggested solution Transfer the town of Cambridge, a number of statistical area units and that area of land south of the Waikato River into the proposed Taupo Electorate. Transfer part of the Hautapu statistical area unit to the proposed Hamilton East Electorate. Transfer the town of Te Awamutu and a number of statistical area units to the proposed Taranaki-King Country Electorate and transfer two statistical area units (Kaipaki and Te Rahu) to a new North Waikato Electorate.

Objects to the inclusion of two further statistical area units (Te Arawa and Ngarua) on the grounds that other proposed changes would make it necessary to add population to the proposed Coromandel Electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer these areas to the proposed Coromandel Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Karapiro Electorate on the grounds that other proposed changes would remove large areas south and west of Karapiro and add large areas in northern and western Waikato.

Suggested solution Change the name to North Waikato.

25 Coromandel

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details.

25 001 *b* Objection C Carson

Objects to Matakana, Mayor and Motiti Islands being placed in electorates other than Tauranga.

Suggested solution That these areas be placed in the Tauranga Electorate.

25 002 *b,h* Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Minden and Te Puna statistical area units in the proposed Coromandel Electorate on the grounds that this area's community of interest is with Western Bay of Plenty and other suggested changes require more population to be included in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer these area units to the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.

26 Tauranga

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

27 Bay of Plenty

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details.

27 001 *b* Objection A Isaacs

Objects to the inclusion of Kawerau in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate on the grounds that Kawerau has a strong community of interest with the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

Objects to the exclusion of rural Te Puke and Pyes Pa from the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate on the grounds that there is no community of interest with the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004

27 002 *b* Objection L V Williams

Objects to the inclusion of Kawerau in the proposed Bay of Plenty

Electorate on the grounds that Kawerau has a strong community of interest with Rotorua.

Suggested solution To include Kawerau in the proposed Rotorua Electorate and to compensate restore the Te Puke or Oropi area to Bay of Plenty.

27 003 *b* **Objection R N Somerfield**

Similar to Objection 28/004.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004 and to include Kawerau in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

27 004 *b* **Objection C Carson**

Similar to Objection 25/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 25/001.

27 005 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Objects to the separation of Opotiki from its community of interest in the Eastern Bay of Plenty. Opotiki has very few links with the Mahia Electorate.

Suggested solution Combine Gisborne, Opotiki and that part of the Whakatane District not being put in the Rotorua Electorate.

27 006 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of a number of statistical area units and parts of statistical area units and the Kawerau District in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate on the grounds that other suggested changes make it necessary to place more population in the proposed Mahia and Rotorua Electorates.

Suggested solution Transfer the Kawerau District and a small part of the Rotoma statistical area unit to the proposed Rotorua Electorate. Transfer the other statistical area units to the proposed Mahia Electorate.

28 Rotorua

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details.

Note A Isaacs, LV Williams, RN Somerfield and C Carson have filed objections with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objections 27/001, 002, 003, 004 for details.

28 001 *b* **Objection L V Williams**

Similar to Objection 28/004 with respect to No. 1 Road Te Puke and Oropi Road.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004. To include Kawerau in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

- 28 002 *b* **Objection M Fraser**
Similar to Objection 28/004 with respect to Lower Kaimai and Te Puke rural area.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004. To include lower Kaimai and Te Puke rural areas in the proposed Coromandel and Bay of Plenty Electorates respectively.
- 28 003 *b* **Objection R Weller**
Objects to inclusion of rural Te Puke in the proposed Rotorua Electorate on the grounds that their community of interest is clearly with Bay of Plenty, the topography separates Te Puke from Rotorua and that weak facilities of communication exist between this area and the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004. To include rural Te Puke in the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate.
- 28 004 *b* **Objection R H and P M Eglinton**
Objects to the inclusion of rural parts of Te Puke, Oropi, Pyes Pa and Lower Kaimai in the proposed Rotorua Electorate on the grounds that they are geographically part of the Bay of Plenty and their community of interest is with the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate. This is acknowledged by all existing local and political boundaries.
Suggested solutions
 - To include the rural parts of Te Puke, Oropi and Pyes Pa into the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.
 - To include Lower Kaimai in the proposed Coromandel Electorate.
 - To compensate shift the boundary of the proposed Taupo Electorate north and to include Waikite, Ngakuru and Reporoa in the proposed Rotorua Electorate or including Kawerau in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
- 28 005 *b* **Objection R N Somerfield**
Similar to Objection 28/004.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004. To include Kawerau in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
- 28 006 *b* **Objection A A Grayling**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Oropi Pyes Pa area.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004. To include Bay of Plenty areas with forestry interests in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
- 28 007 *b* **Objection J Linton**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to the rural Te Puke area.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/003.

- 28 008 *b,h* **Objection G L & S C Weld**
Similar to Objection 28/004.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect of Oropi, Pyes Pa and rural Te Puke.
- 28 009 *b,h* **Objection P A Owen**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Oropi Road area.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/004 for Oropi.
- 28 010 *b* **Objection G Ross**
Similar to Objection 28/003. No 3 Road is a no exit road that does not connect their property to Rotorua.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/003.
- 28 011 *b* **Objection D A & L W Somerfield**
Similar to Objection 28/004 but in respect to Oropi and Pyes Pa and with the addition of Ohauti being in the proposed Rotorua Electorate.
Suggested solution To include Oropi Pyes Pa and Ohauti in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.
- 28 012 *b* **Objection J Kehely**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect of Oropi Pyes Pa and Te Puke rural areas.
- 28 013 *b* **Objection L Diprose**
Objects to inclusion of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Roads, Te Puke being in the proposed Rotorua Electorate. Proposal lacks logic and No. 3 road has no direct access to Rotorua.
- 28 014 *b* **Objection J E Brooks**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Oropi Road area. Activities of interest lie in the Tauranga and Kaimai areas and it is wrong to tie the rural areas of Kaimai and Tauranga to Rotorua.
Suggested solution The areas that ring Tauranga City should be in a separate electorate but allied to the Tauranga area.
- 28 015 *b* **Objection S & J P Power**
Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Te Puke and Oropi.
Suggested solution To return Te Puke and Oropi to the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.
- 28 016 *b* **Objection B Foreman & J A Vanstone**
Similar to Objection 28/003 in regard to community of interest.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 28/003.
- 28 017 *b* **Objection Board of Trustees, Te Ranga School**
Objects to the proposed electorate boundary change as it does not give

due consideration to the community of interest. The school has been removed from the electorate that all schools they regularly associate with are in, where schools have similar problems associated with the population growth in the area, where most services are required, in which the tribal basis of any Maori students attending associate, and because Te Ranga School has no day to day contact with any schools in the Rotorua Electorate because of the distance and geographical boundaries.

Suggested solution The boundary between the proposed Bay of Plenty and Rotorua Electorates should reflect the associated community of interest naturally imparted by the geographical barriers of the Mangarewa River and Pyes Pa Road south of the Upper Rangiuru, Te Matai and No. 2 Road areas.

28 018 *b,h* **Objection W A Cleghorn**

Objects to proposed boundaries not giving sufficient recognition to the existing electoral boundaries, not adequately recognising community of interest, not giving sufficient weight to facilities of communication, failing to account for the impact of topographical features, making insufficient use of the provisions of section 36 of the Electoral Act 1993 in regard to the adjustment of quota of the general electoral population. Objection is supported by copies of letters from 3 others.

Suggested solutions That either the population within the Ngakuru, Waikite, Upper Atiamuri area of an estimated 1,400 persons be retained within the Rotorua Electorate or that the population of Ngakuru, Waikite, Upper Atiamuri and Reporoa and Broadlands totalling 2,934 persons be retained within the Rotorua Electorate. Suggested solution also impacts on the proposed Coromandel, Bay of Plenty and Taupo Electorates.

28 019 *b* **Objection L & D Whittle**

Similar to Objection 28/004 with respect to No. 4 Road, RD 3, Te Puke.

Suggested solution Move the boundary south to enable the natural inclusion of Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 Roads into the Te Puke Town Catchment. Maybe the area of Edgumbe Town would be more appropriate with the proposed Rotorua Electorate.

28 020 *b* **Objection J A & J Powdrell**

Objects to the proposed electorate in that it does not give due consideration to the community of interest and does not follow logical community and topographical boundaries within the region. Rural properties south of Te Puke, and Rangiuru specifically, rely almost entirely on Te Puke and Tauranga for business and service facilities and geographically is logically part of the Bay of Plenty area and Electorate.

Suggested solution That the Rangiuru area be included in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.

- 28 021 *b,h* **Objection J & D McFetridge**
 Similar to Objection 28/003 but in relation to the Omanawa Road area.
Suggested solution To include the area in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.
- 28 022 *b* **Objection W E Hill**
 Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Oropi Road.
Suggested solution To remain in the present electorate.
- 28 023 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**
 Similar to Objection 28/004 in respect to Pyes Pa and Oropi.
Suggested solution That these localities become part of the proposed Tauranga Electorate and that the extra population needed in the proposed Rotorua Electorate be gained by the inclusion of Kawerau.
- 28 024 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Murapara and Matahina-Minginui statistical area units in the proposed Rotorua Electorate on the following grounds:
- 1 The community of interest this area has with other parts of the Whakatane District.
 - 2 Other suggested changes mean that more population is needed in the proposed Mahia Electorate.
 - 3 Other suggested changes mean that transferring this area to Mahia would improve facilities of communication in the proposed Mahia Electorate.
- Suggested solution* Transfer this area to the proposed Mahia Electorate.
- Objects to the inclusion of any part of the Western Bay of Plenty District within the proposed Rotorua Electorate on the grounds that this area's community of interest lies with the rest of the Western Bay of Plenty District.
- Suggested solution* Transfer this area to the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.

29 Taupo

- 29 001 *b,h* **Objection W A Cleghorn**
 Similar to Objection 28/018 but this objection covers the areas known as Ngakuru, Waikite, Upper Atiamuri, Reporoa and Broadlands. Objector suggests for the first 3 localities the community of interest, facilities of communication and topographical features quite clearly point towards Rotorua. For Reporoa and Broadlands interests, etc are equally shared between Rotorua and Taupo.
- Suggested solution* Similar to Objection 28/018. Any shortfall in the

proposed Taupo Electorate resulting from either option could be made up by transferring persons from the proposed Karapiro Electorate around Te Poi and Hinuera and/or from the proposed Rangitikei Electorate around Waiouru and/or the proposed Taranaki-King Country around Arohena, Ngaroma and possibly Taumarunui.

29 002 *b* **Objection J Lyons-Montgomery**

Similar to Objection 29/001 but only in relation to Ngakuru, Upper Atiamuri, and Waikite Valley areas.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 29/001 as it relates to the above area.

29 003 *b* **Objection Rotorua District Council**

Similar to Objection 29/001 with the addition of Reporoa.

Suggested solution That the Rotorua Electorate boundaries not be amended as proposed.

29 004 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of any part of the Ruapehu, Rangipo, Turangi and Iwi Tahī statistical area units in the proposed Taupo Electorate on the grounds that other changes to the proposed Rangitikei Electorate mean that more population must be added to Rangitikei.

Suggested solution Transfer these area units to the proposed Rangitikei Electorate.

Objects to the inclusion of any part of the Rotorua District in the proposed Taupo Electorate on the grounds that the community of interest of this area lies with Rotorua and that other proposed changes mean that the proposed Taupo Electorate would exceed the population tolerance.

Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary between the Taupo and Rotorua Electorates.

Objects to the name of the proposed Taupo Electorate on the grounds that other proposed changes would alter the composition of the electorate to include more of the Waikato Region and no part of the Ruapehu District.

Suggested solution Change the name to South Waikato.

30 Taranaki-King Country

30 001 *b,h* **Objection G C Turner & B R Cavey and 24 others**

Objects to the area between the eastern side of the Waingongoro River north to its conjunction with the Mangawhero Stream and then along a tributary to the boundary of the Eltham Township and the existing Taranaki-King Country/Whanganui boundary being included in the Taranaki-King Country Electorate. The area has a community of interest with the town of Hawera which is in the proposed Whanganui Electoral District. Quota and tolerance will enable this transfer.

Suggested solution That the boundary could be the Eltham Town Boundary as far as the Main Road and then up to the Main Road 1 or 2 kilometres to the South Taranaki District Council Boundary which crosses the Main Road.

30 002 *b,h* **Objection I Mauriri**

Objects to Normanby residents not being included in the proposed Whanganui Electorate. Reasons are that the government agencies are represented in Hawera and Normanby residents' dealings are in Hawera which is located in the Whanganui Electorate.

Suggested solutions People of Normanby would be best served by being brought into the Whanganui Electorate.

30 003 *b* **Objection J Murphy and 32 others**

Objects to the Normanby area not being included in the proposed Whanganui Electorate. The reasons as put forward in 1995 and accepted by to the Representation Commission have not changed but the situation now is that there is no problem with the quota for Whanganui. It makes no sense to include the great majority of Normanby residents in the vast electorate of Taranaki-King Country when most business, personal, social and sporting affiliations are to Hawera.

Suggested solutions To exclude from Taranaki-King Country the areas bound by the Waingongoro River, the Main Road and the Mawhitiwhiti Bridge and a line drawn to Normanby

30 004 *b,h* **Objection E Bradley & G Langford & 46 others**

Similar to Objection 30/003.

Suggested solution To move the Taranaki-King Country/Whanganui Electorate boundary to the Waingongoro River to specifically include Normanby.

30 005 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Waingongoro, Okaiawa, Eltham and part of the Mangatoki-Moeroa statistical area units in the proposed Taranaki-King Country Electorate on the grounds of their community of interest with Hawera and the projected changes in population in Taranaki and neighbouring regions.

Suggested solution Transfer these statistical area units to the proposed Whanganui Electorate.

Objects to the inclusion of the Egmont Village, Urenui, and part of the Okoki-Okau statistical area units on the grounds of their community of interest with New Plymouth and projected changes in population in Taranaki and neighbouring regions.

Suggested solution Transfer these statistical area units to the proposed New Plymouth Electorate.

Objects to the inclusion of the Pokuru statistical area unit and parts of the Rotongata and Rotoorangi statistical area units in the proposed Taranaki-King Country Electorate on the grounds that other suggested changes would mean the population tolerance is exceeded.

Suggested solution Transfer these area units to the proposed Taupo Electorate and transfer the Pokuru area unit to a new North Waikato Electorate.

32 Whanganui

- 32 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Fordell-Kakatahi area units in the proposed Whanganui Electorate on the grounds that other changes make it necessary to place more people in the proposed Rangitikei Electorate.

Suggested solution Transfer the Fordell-Kakatahi area unit to the proposed Rangitikei Electorate.

33 Mahia

- 33 001 *b,h* **Objection K J Rose**

Objects to the proposed boundary making the electorate too large geographically with the result that no community of interest exists and difficulties of communication.

Suggested solutions A general northward movement of electorate boundaries resulting in the Wairarapa Electorate northern boundary being the northern boundary of the Central Hawkes Bay District. The Tukituki Electorate northern boundary be the Ngaruroro River or the Tutaekuri River. The Napier Electorate northern boundary be the northern boundary of the Hastings District. Hawkes Bay would be served by two electorates (Napier and Tukituki) with an obvious community of interest. Mahia would then consist of the area north of Hastings District including the Wairoa District, Poverty Bay and a greater part of Bay of Plenty improving community of interest and communication.

- 33 002 *b,h* **Objection A J Young on behalf of Opotiki Branch, Federated Farmers**

Objects to the proposal that the Opotiki area be included in the Mahia Electorate on the grounds of community of interest being in Bay of Plenty. Topographical features and communication links strongly support Opotiki being in the proposed Bay of Plenty Electorate.

- 33 003 *b,h* **Objection L H Milsom & 580 others**

Similar to Objection 33/002.

Suggested solution That the Opotiki District should be included in the Bay of Plenty Electorate.

- 33 004 *b,h* **Objection E F Hill**
Objection is similar to Objection 33/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 33/001 with the addition that to address possible resulting imbalance in numbers the Chathams, instead of being brought into the proposed Napier Electorate should be attached to the proposed Mahia Electorate.
- 33 005 *b* **Objection Gisborne District Council**
Similar to Objection 33/001 but makes the point Gisborne has a wider community interest through First Light Tourism with Opotiki and Wairoa.
- 33 006 *b,h* **Objection NZ Labour Party**
Similar to Objection 33/001.
Suggested solution The north western boundary of the proposed Mahia Electorate be moved further west to include Ohope. In the south of the proposed electorate the boundary be moved to the Ngaruroro River from a point on the Rangitikei Electorate boundary to its intersection with the proposed Tukituki Electorate. Area thus excluded from Mahia would form part of the proposed Tukituki and Napier Electorates.
- 33 007 *b,h* **Objection NZ National Party, Napier Electorate**
Objects to the south and south eastern boundary of the proposed Mahia Electorate as electors in the southern area would be better served if the common boundaries with the proposed Napier and Tukituki Electorates were adjusted to reflect the historical Hawke's Bay Regional/Provincial area. These better reflect community interest and communication.
Suggested solution The southern boundary of the Mahia Electorate be the Mohaka River while the common Tukituki/Napier boundary be the Ngaruroro River to Fernhill. Should this require some corresponding minor adjustment to maintain Mahia's population then this should be effected between Mahia/Bay of Plenty and Mahia/Rotorua Electorates.
- 33 008 *b,h* **Objection A M Wedd on behalf of Hawke's Bay Federated Farmers**
Objects to the proposed boundaries in that the proposed Mahia Electorate is too large, there is no community of interest between the Hawke's Bay region and the remainder of the electorate, and that the proposed boundaries have made it impossible for electors in the Mahia Electorate to have reasonable access to their elected representative.
Suggested solutions Relocate all electors south of Tutira in either the Napier or the Tukituki and Napier Electorates. The preferred option being that voters up to the boundary of the Ngaruroro River be included in the Napier Electorate. In addition Mahia take Chathams from Napier.

33 009 *b,h* **Objection Opotiki District Council**

Objects to the :

- i overall general strategy used by the Commission.
- ii Opotiki area being placed in the proposed Mahia Electorate.
- iii separation of White (Whakaari) Island from the Opotiki District

on the grounds Opotiki can only be linked to the Bay of Plenty area and in reaching its proposals the Commission failed to recognise these community interests, communication issues or topographical features, the significance and impact of changing regional populations, and the imperative of boundary stability.

Suggested solutions To unite White (Whakaare) Island with Opotiki. Should Opotiki not be included in Bay of Plenty then the seaward boundary should project true north from Ohiwa Harbour (the Opotiki District Boundary).

- Transfer Opotiki to a new Bay of Plenty Electorate.
- Create a new Western Bay of Plenty Electorate embracing parts of the proposed Bay of Plenty, Tauranga, Coromandel, Karapiro and Rotorua Electorates.
- Consequential changes occur in the following proposed electorates.
 - Rangitikei be redrawn using an east/west axis and breaching the Manawatu Gorge, and include Woodville and Dannevirke.
 - Palmerston North takes some 1,200 from Rangitikei.
 - Wairarapa moves north to take up much of Tukituki.
 - Tukituki is eliminated. Population going to Wairarapa, Napier and Mahia.
 - Napier loses Chatham Islands to Wellington Central. Picks up part of Tukituki.
 - Mahia loses Opotiki area picks up part of Tukituki.
 - Rotorua swaps population in the Pyes Pa area with that in the Reporoa area.
 - Tauranga. Parts of Bethlehem are transferred to the proposed new western electorate.
 - Coromandel and Karapiro boundaries are adjusted to achieve stability.
 - Wellington Central picks up Chatham Islands.

33 010 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Similar to Objection 33/002. In addition objects to the inclusion of most of the Hawke's Bay area in that electorate. The topography of

the region is exceedingly fragmented. Opotiki has little community of interest with the rest of the proposed Mahia Electorate.

Suggested solution The best solution would be a Raukumara Electorate combining the Gisborne and Opotiki Districts together with the Whakatane urban area including Edgecumbe. The populations in the Napier and Tuketuki Electorates should be increased to their maxima to allow the inclusion of the Hawke's Bay rural area in these two electorates.

- 33 011 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of any of the Hastings District in the proposed Mahia Electorate on the grounds that this area's community of interest is with Napier and Hastings. The objection is also made on the grounds of projected changes in population of the Hawke's Bay Region.

Suggested solution Transfer all that part of the Hastings District in the proposed Mahia Electorate to the proposed Tuketuki and/or Napier Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Mahia Electorate on the grounds that other proposed changes would alter the composition of the electorate to include part of the Whakatane District and no part of the Hastings District.

Suggested solution Change the name of the electorate to East Cape.

34 Napier

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

- 34 001 *b* **Objection P F Smith, Chatham Islands Council**

Objects to the lack of consistent representation for the Chatham Islands with it being placed in three different regions in the last five years.

Suggested solution That the Chatham Islands be able to approach Central Government directly.

- 34 002 *b,h* **Objection P E Dicker, Chatham Community Focus Trust**

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the proposed Napier Electorate on the grounds that direct public transport links exist with Wellington and Christchurch. The objector's understanding is that the LATE agreement only relates to the Chatham Islands Enterprise Trust not the County Council or the community.

Suggested solution To maintain the status quo by including the Chatham Islands in the proposed Rongotai Electorate.

- 34 003 *b,h* **Objection T T Solomon for and on behalf of Moriori Tchakat Henu Association of Rekohu Trust**

Similar to Objection 34/002.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 34/002.

34 004 *b,h*

Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to Cape Kidnappers being in the proposed Napier Electorate as the area has a strong historical association with Hastings in the Tukituki Electorate.

Suggested solution Move the Napier/Tukituki boundary line to cut north past Havelock North to reach the coast at Te Awanga.

34 005 *b,h*

Objection New Zealand Labour Party

Objects to the Gracelands Resthome on Pakowhai Road being in the Napier Electorate.

Suggested solution Extend the boundary along Evenden Road to Pakowhai Road, then north along Pakowhai Road, east along Richmond Road to Tomoana Road then east along Coventry Road to the proposed boundary at Kenilworth Road.

34 006 *b,h*

Objection Ngati Mutunga O Wharekauri Inc.

Object to the inclusion of Chatham Islands in the Napier Electorate. This is another example of Government's prescribed template imposed on the Ngati Mutunga O Wharekauri people.

Suggested solution That the proposed Electoral Districts 1998 be withheld and not become effective for the Chatham Islands.

34 007 *b,h*

Objection Alliance Party

Similar to Objection 34/002 but on the grounds that as much community of interest with Gisborne exists.

Suggested solution Include the Chatham Islands either in Mahia if other suggested changes are made to that electorate or combine it with Wellington Central or Rongotai to retain continuity of representation.

35

Tukituki

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

35 001 *b,h*

Objection Alliance Party

Objects to the electoral population of the proposed Tukituki Electorate not being raised to above the mean. Tukituki boundaries will require change in 5 years based on current projections.

Suggested solution Include more of the Hawke's Bay rural area in the Tukituki Electorate.

35 002 *b,n,h*

Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).

Objects to the inclusion of the Porangahau statistical area unit and part of the Elsthorpe-Flemington statistical area unit on the grounds that projected changes in population will leave the proposed Wairarapa

Electorate with insufficient population and that the transfer of these statistical area units to Wairarapa will enable other suggested changes to the northern boundary of the proposed Tukituki Electorate to be made.

Suggested solution Transfer the Porangahau area unit and part of the Elsthorpe-Flemington area unit to the proposed Wairarapa Electorate.

Objects to the name of the proposed Tukituki Electorate on the grounds that the changes suggested mean that the electorate would include more of Northern Hawke's Bay.

Suggested solution Change the name of the electorate to Hawke's Bay.

36 Wairarapa

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

36 001 n **Objection S Davis on behalf of Wairarapa National Party**

Objects to the proposed name of the electoral district on the grounds that it does not reflect the name of the regions covered in the electorate.

Suggested solution That the proposed electorate be named Wairarapa/Tararua thus helping make the northern population feel more inclusive in the electorate.

37 Rangitikei

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

37 001 b,h **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Whakarongo, Kelvin Grove, Milson and Cloverlea statistical area units in the proposed Rangitikei Electorate on the grounds of their community of interest with Palmerston North and projected population changes in neighbouring areas.

Suggested solution Transfer the Cloverlea statistical area unit to the proposed Palmerston North Electorate and all other statistical area units to the proposed Otaki Electorate.

38 Palmerston North

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

38 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Tiritea, Aokoutere and Linton Military Camp statistical area units in the proposed Palmerston North Electorate on the grounds that changes to the proposed Otaki Electorate make it desirable to add more population to Otaki.

Suggested solution Transfer these two statistical area units to the proposed Otaki Electorate.

39 Otaki

39 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the area of land south west of Otaihanga to Kapiti Road in the proposed Otaki Electorate on the grounds that the community of interest of this area is with Paraparaumu and that the Mana Electorate is not a high growth area so further population is required.

Suggested solution Move the Mana Electorate boundary further north to include all of Paraparaumu up to the Waikanae River but excluding Otaihanga.

40 Mana

40 001 *b* **Objection J M Duncan**

Objects to the inclusion of the Linden-Greenacres area of Tawa in the proposed Mana Electorate on the grounds that it does not give due consideration to the community of interest, and hinders communication within the region. The electorate and region have been splintered.

Suggested solution To include Linden-Greenacres in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate by retaining the current electoral boundary in the Tawa area.

40 002 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Similar to Objection 40/001 with respect to community of interest.

Suggested solution Similar to 40/001. Also, to meet population requirements, that the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate boundaries be changed if necessary, possibly on the north eastern boundary with Rimutaka.

- 40 003 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of Linden in the proposed Mana Electorate on the grounds that their community of interest is with Tawa and because of projected population changes within the region.

Suggested solution To retain the existing boundary between Mana and Ohariu-Belmont.

Objects to the inclusion of Pauatahanui, Endeavour, Resolution and part of Adventure area units in the proposed Mana Electorate because other changes suggested would place too many people in Mana.

Suggested solution Transfer the area units into the proposed Rimutaka Electorate.

41 Rimutaka

- 41 001 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of part of the suburb of Naenae in the proposed Rimutaka Electorate because of projected population numbers in the area.

Suggested solution Transfer all of the Naenae area east of the railway line from the proposed Rimutaka Electorate into the proposed Hutt South Electorate.

42 Hutt South

- 42 001 *n* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the proposed electoral name of Hutt South on the grounds that it implies an emphasis on the urban area of Lower Hutt, rather than the greater East-West spread across the southern part of the Hutt Valley of the proposed electorate. The electorate includes areas such as Eastbourne, Petone and Wainuiomata which are geographically separate from Lower Hutt, have strong urban ward boundaries and have an individuality within the electorate.

Suggested solution Rename the proposed electorate Pencarrow, which has historical significance and does not emphasise any particular suburb.

- 42 002 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of a small part of the Boulcott-Avalon statistical area unit in the proposed Hutt South Electorate because other suggested changes would place too many people in Hutt South.

Suggested solution Transfer that area of land between Fairway Drive and the Hutt Golf Course extension along St Columban's Grove into the Rimutaka Electorate.

43 Ohariu-Belmont

- 43 001 *b* **Objection W J Cole**
 Objects to the inclusion of Khandallah and Ngaio in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate on the grounds that they have no community of interest with Belmont/Ohariu.
Suggested solution To include Khandallah and Ngaio in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.
- 43 002 *b* **Objection P M Duthie**
 Similar to Objection 43/001.
Suggested solution That Cockayne Road to be northern boundary of the electorate.
- 43 003 *b* **Objection G Wong**
 Similar to Objection 43/001. Also Ngaio has a strong community of interest with Crofton Downs, Chartwell and Wadestown, and Khandallah and Ngaio have traditionally been part of the Wellington Central Electorate.
Suggested solution Ngaio remain in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.
- 43 004 *b* **Objection R Hunter**
 Similar to Objection 43/003 with respect to community of interest with Crofton Downs, Chartwell, Wadestown and the city. Also there is a natural northern boundary for the proposed electorate that follows Cockayne Road.
Suggested solutions
- Cockayne Road be the northern boundary of the electorate.
 - To include Mt Cook in the proposed Rongotai Electorate by using the old Island Bay Electorate boundary in the south.
 - To include Hataitai in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.
- 43 005 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Haywards statistical area unit in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate.
Suggested solution Transfer the Haywards statistical area unit from the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate into the proposed Rimutaka Electorate on the grounds that other changes make it desirable to include all of Haywards Road to allow adequate facilities of communication between Whitby and Upper Hutt.
 Objects to the inclusion of the Ngaio statistical area unit in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate.
Suggested solution Transfer the Ngaio statistical area unit from the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate into the proposed Wellington Central Electorate. This is on the grounds that changes made elsewhere make it necessary to decrease Ohariu-Belmont's population.

44 Wellington Central

Note The Opotiki District Council has filed an objection with consequential changes for this electorate. Please see Objection 33/009 (Mahia) for details

44 001 *b* **Objection N Harrap**

Objects to the inclusion of Mt Cook in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate on the grounds that Mt Cook's community of interest is contiguous with Newtown and the proposed boundary hinders communication within the region. The industrial and commercial buildings in Webb Street also form a natural barrier between Mt Cook and the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.

Suggested solution To include Mt Cook in the proposed Rongotai Electorate.

44 002 *b* **Objection B & D Black**

Similar to Objection 44/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 44/001.

44 003 *b* **Objection M Dunaytschik**

Similar to Objection 44/004.

Suggested solution Reinstate the southern boundary.

44 004 *b* **Objection A Beazer**

Objects to the exclusion of Mt Cook and part of Newtown from the proposed Rongotai Electorate on the grounds that it separates them from neighbouring areas with which there are long standing cultural and community links and which remain in Rongotai. Proposed boundary changes will hinder both cultural and communication interests.

Suggested solutions

- To include Mt Cook and all of Newtown in the proposed Rongotai Electorate.
- To include Hataitai in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.

44 005 *b,h* **Objection N J Wilson**

Objects to the northern boundary of the proposed Wellington Central Electorate on the grounds that it is based on a geographical feature, Ngaio Gorge, which does not recognise community of interest or the existing boundary. A more logical boundary, consistent with history and other defining features in the proposed electorate, would be a major roadway.

Suggested solution That Cockayne Road be the northern boundary of the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.

44 006 *b* **Objection D Wright**

Similar to Objection 44/004.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 44/004 with respect to Mt Cook and Hataitai.

44 007 *b* **Objection J Gill**

Objects to the exclusion of Hataitai from the proposed Wellington Central electorate on the grounds that Hataitai has a community of interest with Wellington Central rather than the proposed Rongatai electorate.

Suggested solution To include Hataitai in the proposed Wellington Central electorate.

44 008 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Objects to the inclusion of Rangiora Rd, Winchester St and Fore St. in the proposed Wellington Central electorate on the grounds of their community of interest with other areas of Khandallah in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont electorate.

Suggested solution That the northern boundary follow the ward boundary along Kaiwharawhara Road to the Hutt Road, rather than proceeding north up Old Porirua Road.

44 009 *b,h* **Objection Hon R Prebble MP**

– Objects to the inclusion of parts of Newtown, rather than Hataitai, into the proposed Wellington Central electorate, on the grounds of community of interest, facilities of communication, topographical features and existing boundaries.

– Also objects to the exclusion of Khandallah and Cashmere from the proposed Wellington Central electorate on similar grounds. Statistics available suggest the socio demographic and economic details show a strong community of interest between Hataitai with Wellington Central, and Newtown with Rongotai. Similar statistics also suggest that Khandallah, Ngaio and Cashmere have a better community of interest fit with Wellington Central than Ohariu-Belmont. Due to quota reasons it is accepted that some of the Khandallah/Ngaio/Cashmere area will have to be excluded from the proposed Wellington Central electorate, but there is no legal or other reason to move more than is necessary to meet quota requirements.

Suggested solutions

– That the southern boundary include the 1996 boundary through Newtown. Also the boundary line through Hataitai run from the eastern entrance of Mt Victoria tunnel, down Ruahine St to Taupiri St, then down Taupiri St, to Hamilton Rd and down

Belvedere Rd to the sea.

- That the northern boundary be the 1996 boundary from the sea to the junction of Box Hill and Cockayne Rd. Then down the western side of Cockayne Rd, across Punjab St and down to the Commission's proposed boundary where that boundary meets Old Porirua Rd.
- If the above changes cause the Wellington Central electorate to be above the 5% quota range, then an adjustment be made to the Hataitai boundary to reduce this margin
- If it is simply not possible to accept the recommendations contained in this objection, a third option presents itself. This is to let Ngaio and Khandallah fall into Ohariu-Belmont while retaining only Cashmere in Wellington Central (the southern boundary has Hataitai in Wellington Central and Newtown in Rongotai). There is a topographical feature which can be followed from the junction of Onslow Road and the Hutt Road straight up the hill to Cashmere School and up to Agra Crescent where it meets the 1996 boundary and runs back down to the sea along the 1996 boundary.

44 010 *b,h* **Objection D Homewood**

Objects to the southern boundary from Coromandel St to Connaught Tce in the proposed Wellington Central electorate on the grounds that it does not take account of community of interest. It cuts right through the middle of Newtown and separates Mt Cook from other southern suburbs. It also does not follow topographical features or landmarks.

Suggested solution That the current southern boundary be retained and that the boundary at the south end of Maida Vale Rd be moved either:

- to follow Evans Bay Rd to a point below the end of Te Anau Ave and follow the roads up to Alexandra Rd, OR
- to follow Evans Bay Rd to Hataitai Beach and follow the southern boundary of Area 48 to rejoin the current boundary at Mt Victoria tunnel.

45 Rongotai45 001 *b* **Objection B & D Black**

Objects to the inclusion of Hataitai in the proposed Rongotai Electorate on the grounds of topographical features. The coastline borders Mt Victoria, Roseneath and Hataitai, but only Hataitai is not included in the Wellington Central Electorate.

Suggested solution To include Hataitai in the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.

45 002 *b,h* **Objection NewZealand Labour Party**

Objects to the proposed Wellington Central – Rongotai boundary in the Roseneath area, on the grounds that it does not follow appropriate geographical boundaries and also that it does not allow community of interest, from the Roseneath suburb, in the Hataitai – Kilbirnie area.

Suggested solution That the proposed Wellington Central boundary in Roseneath should follow the “green belt” division through the Roseneath area to Point Jerningham. By extending the boundary north along The Crescent, north down Maida Vale Rd and follow Carlton Gore Rd to Oriental Pde.

45 003 *b,h* **Objection Alliance Party**

Objects to the exclusion of parts of Newtown from the proposed Rongotai electorate on the grounds that the Newtown streets outside the proposed northern boundary have a community of interest with the rest of Newtown in Rongotai.

Suggested solution That the Wellington Central – Rongotai boundary in Newtown be drawn further north, through Westland Rd and John St, so that the Hospital is in the Rongotai electorate. North of this is a commercial area, which is a more natural break with the residential area of Mt Cook.

45 004 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector’s overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Ngaio statistical area unit in the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate on the grounds that changes made elsewhere make it necessary to reduce Ohariu-Belmont’s population.

Suggested solution Transfer the Ngaio statistical area unit from the proposed Ohariu-Belmont Electorate into the proposed Wellington Central Electorate.

46 Nelson46 001 *b* **Objection C J Davis**

Similar to Objection 47/001.

47 West Coast-Tasman**47 001 b Objection C J Davis & 69 others**

Objects to current/boundary between the West Coast/Tasman and Nelson Electorates. Residents south of Wakefield have a community interest with Nelson Electorate.

Suggested solution Move boundary to the top of Spooners Range.

47 002 b Objection Nelson National Party

Objects to the inclusion of areas on the south east side of Pig Valley Road and Church Valley Road Wakefield and the area south of Foxhill in the proposed West Coast-Tasman Electorate on the grounds of community of interest and topographical logic.

Suggested solution Move the boundary as Eastern Hills is the natural boundary for Wakefield residents and Spooners range for Foxhill residents to include these areas in the proposed Nelson Electorate. If population compensation is required, Arthurs Pass can be added to West Coast-Tasman.

47 003 b,h Objection R Donald MP

Objects to the proposed boundary because the eastern boundary with Nelson is currently drawn so that the logical community of interest for the residents of several small valleys running off the Waimea Plains is ignored.

Suggested solution That the current boundary from Wards Pass to Cut Hill on the Moutere Highway be moved so that Church Valley, Pig Valley, Quail Valley, Wairoa Gorge (North and South), 88 Valley and Wai-iti Valley to the south, Pretty Bridge Valley, Trass Valley, Hoult Valley and Pigeon Valley to the north and the village and surrounds of Belgrove are all totally within the Nelson Electorate. It is accepted that to achieve tolerance, the Commission would need to shift the eastern Tasman boundary inland of Mapua.

47 004 b Objection G MacLellan on behalf of Nelson Greens

Similar to Objection 47/003.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 47/003.

48 Kaikoura**48 001 b Objection J Thornton**

Objects to the exclusion of 200 Wharfdale Road from the proposed Waimakariri Electorate on the grounds of community of interest with other residents in Lees Valley and topographical features.

Suggested solution To shift the boundary between Waimakariri and Kaikoura to the top of Mt Richardson, or alternatively put all of meshblock 2438400 into the proposed Waimakariri Electorate.

48 002 *b,h* **Objection W A D & J L Wilson**
 Objects to the inclusion of Waikuku in the proposed Kaikoura
 Electorate on the grounds of community of interest, topographical
 features and facilities of communications.

Suggested solution To include Waikuku in the proposed
 Waimakariri Electorate.

48 003 *b,h* **Objection R Donald MP**
 Objects to Waikuku being included in the Kaikoura Electorate.
 Grounds are that the community of interest is obviously to the south.

Suggested solution That Waikuku be put in the proposed
 Waimakariri Electorate.

49 Waimakariri

49 001 *b,h* **Objection J Miller (Chairperson Banks Peninsula Electorate NZ
 National Party)**

Objects on the grounds that the proposed boundaries do not satisfy four
 of the statutory requirements – existing boundaries, community of
 interest, facilities of communications, topographical features.

Suggested solution An adjustment of boundaries be westwards
 around Christchurch to accommodate population movements. In short
 a clockwise adjustment to accommodate the change around
 Christchurch conforms more comfortably with all aspects of section
 35 (f) of the Electoral Act 1993. Specifically, Oxford/Fernside move
 out of the proposed Waimakariri Electorate and be replaced with the
 part of Papanui currently in Waimakariri.

52 Christchurch Central

52 001 *b* **Objection S D Clark**
 Objects to the inclusion of Papanui in the proposed Christchurch
 Central Electorate on the grounds of facilities of communication.

Suggested solution Bishopdale remain within the proposed Ilam
 Electorate, Papanui remain within the proposed Waimakariri Electorate
 and the population quota for Christchurch Central be taken up by
 incorporating Woolston.

52 002 *b* **Objection S Tufuga**

Similar to Objection 52/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 52/001.

52 003 *b* **Objection D Flynn-Thompson**

Objects to the removal of Papanui from the proposed Waimakariri
 Electorate on the grounds of community of interest.

Suggested solution To include Bishopdale in the proposed Ilam
 Electorate. To include Papanui in the proposed Waimakariri
 Electorate. To include Woolston in the proposed Christchurch Central

Electorate.

- 52 004 *b,h* **Objection A Crighton**
 Objects to the inclusion of part of Linwood in the proposed Port Hills Electorate and part of Avonside in the proposed Christchurch East Electorate.
Suggested solution Align electorate boundaries for the proposed Christchurch Central Electorate with local authority boundaries.
- 52 005 *b,h* **Objection J Miller (Chairperson Banks Peninsula Electorate NZ National Party)**
 Similar to Objection 49/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 49/001.
- 52 006 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Objects to the inclusion of the area comprising parts of Papanui, Bishopdale, Northcote and Casebrook in the proposed Christchurch Central Electorate on the grounds that this detracts from community of interest in this area.
Suggested solution The boundary between the two electorates be the Main North Road bounded by the Main North Road, Harewood Road, Greers Road and Northcote Road in the proposed Waimakariri Electorate. The balance of the area bounded to the northwest by Queen Elizabeth II Drive, Main North Road and Papanui Road in the Christchurch Central Electorate.

53 Christchurch East

- 53 001 *b,h* **Objection J Miller (Chairperson Banks Peninsula Electorate NZ National Party)**
 Similar to Objection 49/001.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 49/001 with specific details relating to the exclusion of Avonside/Wainoni from the proposed Christchurch East Electorate and the inclusion of the Woolston/Linwood area..
- 53 002 *b,h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Objects to the existing boundary along Gloucester Street and Linwood Avenue being maintained as it unnecessarily prolongs the separation of the communities of Linwood and Avonside.
Suggested solution The boundary between Christchurch Central and Christchurch East be relocated to the eastern and southern sides of Area Units 593400 (Avonside) and 593600 (North Linwood) to include all the two areas within the proposed Christchurch Central Electorate. (See Objection 53/003 for further definition).
- 53 003 *b,h* **Objection J Macey**
 Objects to an area bounded by Avonside Drive, Kerrs Road, Buckleys Road, Linwood Avenue and Gloucester Street being in the proposed

Christchurch East Electorate as it splits the community interest of Linwood.

Suggested solution Include the area concerned in the proposed Christchurch Central Electorate.

53 004 *b* **Objection B Shead**

Similar to Objection 53/003.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 53/003.

53 005 *b* **Objection R P Wisnesky**

Similar to Objection 53/001 specifically referencing the isolation of Linwood Intermediate from the community it serves.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 53/001.

54 Port Hills

54 001 *b,h* **Objection J Miller (Chairperson Banks Peninsula Electorate NZ National Party)**

Similar to Objection 49/001.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 49/001 and specifically seeking to add Banks Peninsula to the proposed Port Hills Electorate and exclude parts of Linwood and Woolston.

54 002 *b,n,h* **Objection R Donald MP**

Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Port Hills Electorate because they do not give due consideration to existing boundaries, communities of interest, facilities of communication, or the topographical features of Banks Peninsula and adjoining areas. There are no links between the Peninsula and an Ashburton based electorate.

Suggested solutions

- Reinststate that portion of the Peninsula which has been cut off from the Port Hills Electorate.
- Return the parts of Linwood and Woolston, added to the proposed Port Hills Electorate, to Christchurch Central.
- Rename Port Hills, Banks Peninsula.
- Add Waltham to the new Banks Peninsula by extending the boundary along Ferry Road from Ensors Road to Moorhouse Avenue and from there along Waltham Road to the Heathcote River.
- To accommodate the latter, the boundary in Hoon Hay be shifted from Mathers Road to Sparks Road and Victors Road.
- Make the most of the 5% tolerance in making these moves but if Rakaia needs additional people then incorporating the Oxford area into the proposed Rakaia Electorate could be explored.

55**Rakaia**

- 55 001 *b* **Objection G R Inglis**
 Objects to the inclusion of Akaroa in the proposed Rakaia Electorate on the grounds of facilities of communication.
Suggested solution Retain existing boundaries.
- 55 002 *b* **Objection R Phillips on behalf of Work Peninsula**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to community of interest and topographical features.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/001.
- 55 003 *b, h* **Objection T J Brocherie**
 Objects to the inclusion of parts of Banks Peninsula in the proposed Rakaia Electorate on the grounds that due consideration has not been given to the existing boundaries, singular community of interest, facilities of communication with Christchurch and unique topographical features.
Suggested solution Retain existing boundaries of the former Banks Peninsula Electorate by finding the numbers required for the proposed Rakaia Electorate in the northwest.
- 55 004 *b, h* **Objection E Lowe**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to community of interest.
Suggested solution Banks Peninsula should not be divided between electorates.
- 55 005 *b, h* **Objection B Little**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to singular community of interest and unique topographical features.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/004.
- 55 006 *b* **Objection E A Dunster**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to facilities of communication and community of interest.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/004.
- 55 007 *b* **Objection P Riley**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to facilities of communication.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/001.
- 55 008 *b, h* **Objection M Smith**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to community of interest
- 55 009 *b* **Objection A S Crow**
 Similar to Objection 55/003.

- 55 010 *b,h* **Objection B Reid**
Similar to Objection 55/001 with respect to facilities of communication.
- 55 011 *b,h* **Objection S L Bradshaw**
Similar to Objection 55/001 with respect to facilities of communication.
Suggested solution The present western boundary could be extended further into Christchurch.
- 55 012 *b* **Objection L M C Robinson**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest.
- 55 013 *b* **Objection J M Gray**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest with Christchurch and facilities of communication.
Suggested solution Move the western boundary to include more of Christchurch and retain the whole of Banks Peninsula in one electorate.
- 55 014 *b,h* **Objection J R Pole**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest with Christchurch.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/013.
- 55 015 *b,h* **Objection E Ryder**
Similar to Objection 55/001 in respect to community of interest.
- 55 016 *b* **Objection K Coakley**
Similar to Objections 55/001 and 55/003 in respect to community of interest and facilities of communication.
- 55 017 *b,n,h* **Objection J Macdonald**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest and object to the proposed electorate name.
Suggested solution The solution should be found on some other boundary by excluding a part of Linwood and areas south of Ferry Road. The name of the proposed electorate should be Banks Peninsula and include the entire land mass not half as proposed.
- 55 018 *b* **Objection W H Colley**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/001.
- 55 019 *b* **Objection A K Rhodes, Akaroa District Promotions**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest and facilities of communication and unique topographical features.
Suggested solution Same as Objection 55/001.

- 55 020 *b* **Objection J Walker**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest and facilities of communication.
- 55 021 *b,h* **Objection P Higinbottom**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest.
Suggested solution Allow a smaller rural electorate or two, rather than a reshuffle right up the South Island.
- 55 022 *b,h* **Objection A F Helms**
Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to community of interest.
Suggested solution Incorporate rural Banks Peninsula and Akaroa in an electorate bordering on the south or east part of the City of Christchurch.
- 55 023 *b,h* **Objection R Donald MP (see Objection 54/002)**
Similar to Objection 55/003. Reasons are for topographical grounds there is little connection with the current Rakaia Electorate, community of interest is with Christchurch rather than Ashburton, there is no major road link from this part of the electorate to the Rakaia Electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 55/003. In addition, reinstatement of that portion of the peninsula which has been cut off from the Port Hills Electorate and put in Rakaia. Restoring the current electorate name of Banks Peninsula would logically follow.
- 55 024 *b* **Objection M Carter**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest, communication facilities and topographical features.
- 55 025 *b,h* **Objection E C J Carter on behalf of Banks Peninsula District Council -Akaroa/Wairewa Community Board**
Objects to Akaroa and Wairewa areas of Banks Peninsula being included in the Rakaia Electorate on the grounds recognised strong communities of interest are split and communication is with Christchurch not an Ashburton based electorate. Banks Peninsula is geographically and topographically unique.
Suggested solution Incorporate all communities on Banks Peninsula within the same electorate.
- 55 026 *b,h* **Objection J P Corboy**
Similar to Objection 55/003 in respect to community of interest and topographical features.
Suggested solution Banks Peninsula be included in the Port Hills Electorate.
- 55 027 *b,h* **Objection T J Brocherie and 463 others**
Similar to Objection 55/003.

- 55 028 *b,h* **Objection R G Palmer**
 Similar to Objection 55/003.
Suggested solution Similar to Objection 55/004.
- 55 029 *b,h* **Objection J G Rutherford**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 and with the addition of communications as being a factor to be taken into account.
Suggested solution Extend the proposed Rakaia Electorate up the Canterbury Plains to gather in the appropriate numbers and leave Banks Peninsula as close as possible to its present condition.
- 55 030 *b,h* **Objection J Miller (Chairperson Banks Peninsula Electorate NZ National Party)**
 Similar to Objection 49/001.
Suggested solution Similar in part to Objection 49/001 but specifically excluding Banks Peninsula from the proposed Rakaia Electorate and adding Oxford/Fernside.
- 55 031 *b* **Objection V L Andrews**
 Similar to Objection 55/003 with respect to existing boundaries, singular community of interest, facilities of communication and unique topographical features.
Suggested solution Existing boundaries for Banks Peninsula remain as they are.
- 57**
- Otago**
- 57 001 *b,n* **Objection Hon B English MP**
 Objects to the inclusion of communities such as Momona, Henley, Allanton and Maungatua, in the proposed Otago electorate on the grounds that they have no community of interest or direct roading link with Otago. The proposed Dunedin South electorate, by falling short of the Dunedin City Council boundaries, disrupts the community of interest of the Taieri plains.
Suggested solution
- The region, from Lee Stream south, be included in the Dunedin South Electorate.
 - That the Dunedin South Electorate be renamed “Taieri”
- 57 002 *b,h* **Objection Hon B English MP**
 Objects to the retention of the current boundary between the proposed Clutha-Southland and Otago electorates in the Lake Wakatipu area, on the grounds that it interrupts community of interest between the Queenstown area and Southland. As population decrease occurs in Southland and Otago, there is a danger that the Clutha-Southland electorate will be forced to expand only into Central Otago if the Wakatipu boundary remains. This movement will violate community

of interest and run against road links and topography.

Suggested solution

- That the proposed Clutha-Southland boundary advance along Highway 6 to include Frankton.
- To compensate for being over quota, the proposed eastern boundary fall back to the current boundary with Otago, in the region from Beaumont to the ocean, north of Wangaloa

57 003 *b,h* **Objection S Russell (See General 002 for details on this objector's overall strategy for electorate changes).**

Objects to the inclusion of the Taieri Plains area in the proposed Otago Electorate on the grounds that Otago is an area of generally higher growth.

Suggested solution Place all of the Taieri Plains area in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate cutting back the proposed Otago Electorate's south eastern boundary to Lees Stream.

61 Invercargill

61 001 *b* **Objection K Hazlett on behalf of Clutha-Southland Branch, New Zealand National Party**

Objects to the inclusion of Riverton and the surrounding district in the proposed Invercargill Electorate on the grounds that Riverton's community of interest is with Western Southland

Suggested solution To keep Riverton and the surrounding district in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate. The boundary between the proposed Clutha-Southland and Invercargill Electorates would follow east along the Mataura River to the outskirts of the Edendale Township and then turn left towards Invercargill. Woodlands and Morton Mains would be in the proposed Invercargill Electorate. This area's community of interest with Invercargill is very strong.

61 002 *b,h* **Objection N Elder**

Similar to Objection 61/001.

Suggested solution The area west of the old Invercargill Electorate be included in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate and to compensate an area east of Invercargill bounded by a line that follows the proposed boundary, and continues to follow the Makerewa River and Titipua Stream, then goes south down the road to Morton Mains, follows the road to Gorge Road, and then follows the Mataura River south to the sea should be included in the proposed Invercargill Electorate.

61 003 *b,h* **Objection D W Frew**

Similar to Objection 61/001.

Suggested solution Riverton and its surrounding district should be included in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate. To compensate the proposed Invercargill Electorate should be extended into the

southern rural area of Invercargill.

61 004 *b* **Objection V Templeton**

Similar to Objection 61/001

Suggested solution To include Riverton and its surrounding district in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate. To extend the proposed Invercargill Electorate to the east and north to include areas like Woodlands, Tokanui and Seawood Downs. Invercargill is their service town and this would protect their community of interest.

61 005 *b* **Objection D & J Templeton**

Objection similar to Objection 61/001.

Suggested solution To include Riverton and its surrounding district in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate. The western boundary of the proposed Invercargill Electorate should be the Oreti River as this is a natural division. An area south east of Invercargill should also be included in the proposed Invercargill Electorate as this area would have Invercargill as its centre and community of interest.

61 006 *b,h* **Objection Hon B English MP**

Objection similar to Objection 61/001.

Suggested solution To include Riverton and its surrounding district in the proposed Clutha-Southland Electorate. The proposed Invercargill Electorate become a true "Foveaux" electorate by extending out to the east over the Awarua Plain to the Maitara River and Fortrose. The eastern boundary would follow the Maitara River from its estuary up until the Wyndham-Edendale Road bridge over the river where the boundary will then run west along that road until it reaches the outskirts of Edendale where it would turn left and south and then at the end of the built-up zone, turn west and continue until it reaches the Main Trunk Railway Line which it would cross and then continue until where the town's outskirts end on the western side, where it would turn right and go north until it meets State Highway 1. The boundary would then follow the State Highway 1 west until it meets the current eastern boundary of the Invercargill Electorate. At that point the boundary would then move north along its current border with Clutha-Southland.

61 007 *b* **Objection E Roy MP**

Objects to the inclusion of Riverton and the surrounding district in the proposed Invercargill electorate on the grounds that the proposed boundary breaks traditional boundaries and historical expectations. Riverton has been regarded as an historical icon in the western part of the province.

Suggested solution Use a more appropriate dividing line between Invercargill and Clutha-Southland so as to leave Riverton in Clutha-Southland.

63 Hauraki**63 001 b Objection J Anderson (Hauraki Maori Trust Board)**

Objects to the exclusion of certain areas from the proposed Hauraki Electorate. In particular by not incorporating the entire tribal area of the Hauraki Iwi into the proposed new Hauraki Electorate. Katikati is the southern boundary of the Hauraki Rohe and its exclusion from the proposed new electorate severs traditional ties with that part of the Rohe. Objects also to the exclusion of Kawau Island. Kawau Island is serviced by Auckland. Its interests may be better served by retaining the Island as an integral part of that group and that would ensure consistency of political representation across all of the islands in close proximity which are serviced from Central Auckland. Hauraki tribes have traditional and customary interests on Kawau Island.

Suggested solution Include within the electorate Katikati in the south and Kawau Island/Mahurangi in the north.

63 002 n Objection Te Runanga O Ngati Whatua

Objects to the proposed name of Hauraki. The central Auckland area down to the Tamaki River is part of the Rohe of Ngati Whatua and it would be inappropriate for it to come under a name associated with other tribal groups.

64 Te Tai Hauauru**64 001 b,h Objection T T Solomon on behalf of Moriori Tchakat Henu Association of Rekohu Trust**

Objects to the inclusion of the Chatham Islands in the proposed Te Tai Hauauru Electorate. Close tribal links are with Ngai Tahu and Wellington based iwi while more common communication links exist with Wellington and Christchurch.

Suggested solution To include the Chatham Islands in the proposed Te Tai Tonga Electorate.

64 002 b,h Objection C Preece on behalf of Te Iwi Moriori Trust Board

Objects to the inclusion of Chatham Islands in the proposed Te Tai Hauauru Maori Electorate. Objection is supported by lengthy reasons and in summary these are:

- The proposal tramples on our mana, scoffs at our identity and ignores our culture.
- The 1998 justification by the Commission for putting the Chatham Islands into the proposed Te Tai Hauauru Electorate conflicts with the statement by the 1994 Commission which acknowledged links with Te Tai Tonga.
- Chatham Islands has its strongest communications with Te Tai Tonga and none with Te Tai Hauauru.

- Traditional links and community of interest are not synonymous. If tribal affiliations are to be the focus then these are with Te Tai Tonga.
- While the Electoral Act 1993 is not a vehicle for resolving Treaty claims the Commission as a statutory body should not act in a way that would exacerbate existing grievances or cause fresh prejudice to arise.
- Commission's deliberations undertaken with no prior consultation and conducted directly in the face of a pending Waitangi Tribunal report on these very issues.

Suggested solution That Te Tai Tonga is the logical and fairest Maori Electorate for the Chatham Islands.

64 003 *b,h* **Objection S Thomas on behalf of Ngati Mutunga O Wharekauri Inc**

Objects to decisions regarding the Chatham Islands (Wharekauri) being imposed on the Ngati Mutunga people and specifically opposes the assumption of the Commission that the Chatham Islands be included in Te Tai Hauauru "owing to the traditional links with the tribes belonging to Taranaki". Any ties in this regard were severed completely in 1842.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 34/006.

65 Waiariki

65 001 *n,h* **Objection T Taikato on behalf of Rangataua Sports and Recreational Club**

Objects to the name of the electorate because the proposed name does not give due consideration to the community of interest in the electorate. Waiariki is not fully representative or accurately descriptive of the geographic area proposed and acknowledges the Rotorua District only.

Suggested solution That consideration is given to the name being Te Moana a Toi – referring to the coastal area in question or Te Ika Manawa or Te Manawa – referring to inland or heartland area which represents a significant part of the proposed electorate.

65 002 *b,n,h* **Objection M Ririnui on behalf of Ngati He hapu of Ngaiterangi, Tauranga**

Similar to Objection 65/001 in respect to the name and with the addition that the hapu of Te Whanau o Tauwhao would be separated from its parent Iwi Ngaiterangi and become part of the proposed Hauraki Electorate, that electorate being predominantly Tainui.

Suggested solutions That the northern boundary of the proposed electorate be moved north to the Waioro Stream the present boundary between Bowentown and Waihi the northernmost boundary of Ngaiterangi. The suggested solution in relation to the name is

similar to Objection 65/001.

- 65 003 *b,n,h* **Objection H Kururangi on behalf of Ngai Te Ahi hapu of Ngati Ranginui**

Similar to Objection 65/002.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 65/002.

- 65 004 *b,n,h* **Objection P Wanakore on behalf of Ngai Tamawhariua hapu of Ngaiterangi, Tauranga**

Similar to Objection 65/002 as it relates to the hapu of Ngai Tamawhariua and whanaunga of Te Whanau o Tauwhao.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 65/002.

- 65 005 *b,n* **Objection R Taingahue on behalf of Te Whanau a Tauwhao hapu of Ngaiterangi, Tauranga**

Similar to Objection 65/002 in relation to both the boundary and name.

Suggested solution Similar to Objection 65/002.

G General

- G 001 *b* **Objection Bay of Plenty Federated Farmers**

Objects to the irrational division of Coromandel, Tauranga, Bay of Plenty and Rotorua Electorates because areas of community of interest are not well served.

Suggested solution If Electorates like Tauranga or Rotorua were split in two and configured in a way that gave a balance between the rural and urban components, a better result would be achieved.

- G 002 *b,n,h* **Objection S Russell – Objections in the following electorates:**

(03) Rodney; (04) East Coast Bays; (06) North Shore; (08) Helensville; (09) Waitakere; (12) Mt Roskill; (14) Tamaki; (15) Maungakiekie; (16) Pakuranga; (17) Manukau East; (19) Manurewa; (20) Hunua; (21) Port Waikato; (24) Karapiro; (25) Coromandel; (27) Bay of Plenty; (28) Rotorua; (29) Taupo; (30) Taranaki-King Country; (32) Whanganui; (33) Mahia; (35) Tukituki; (37) Rangitikei; (38) Palmerston North; (39) Otaki; (40) Mana; (41) Rimutaka; (42) Hutt South; (43) Ohariu-Belmont; (44) Wellington Central; (57) Otago.

The Commission has not taken adequate account of S.35(3)(f)(v) of the Electoral Act 1993, requiring that due consideration be given to any projected variation in the projected general electoral population of those districts during their life.

This will create serious population imbalances – especially in the North Island where some regions will come to be significantly over – or under-represented. While this is to some extent inevitable, given legislative constraints, a great deal more could be done to address this factor in the proposed boundaries.

The boundaries created in this redistribution will apply until 2005 (9 ½

years after the census on which they are based) because there will be insufficient time between the 2001 census and the 2002 election to prepare and implement new ones. The boundaries presently proposed therefore have an expected life of six years (1999 – 2005).

Mr Russell's submission sets out details of changes which could be made to the proposed electoral districts which he believes would significantly ameliorate this defect. Mr Russell considers that electorates should ideally be set so that their populations are as close as possible to equality at the end of that period.

The suggested changes have the effect of increasingly typical population levels (by the 1996 census definition) in most electoral districts in the Southern North Island, and decreasing typical population levels in Auckland electoral districts – in line with population projections supplied by Statistics New Zealand.

While the effects on individual electorates would be mixed, Mr Russell believes that these suggested changes would be, overall, at least as satisfactory in regard to community of interest and other criteria in S.35(3)(f) as the proposed boundaries.



